Evidence

Discussion:

Discussion:

Discussion:

Microorganism

Conventions

Grammar &

Spelling

Context

Naming

Logic

Error

Uses data powerfully as evidence to support

Conclusions are all logically forged and

Identifies logical/likely sources of error and explains

The experiment is made meaningful by discussion of its

scientific proposals for further investigation are made.

Naming conventions are used correctly.

Article sections clearly distinct from each

All grammar and spelling are correct.

other and in logical order.

statements.

2.8 pts

2.8 pts

1.2 pts

defended with data

effect on results.

Research Faper Rushie												
Research Paper	Rubric											
Criteria	Ratings											Pts
Title	3.6 pts 3.0 pts Title clearly identifies the main question solved. Title id						2.5 pts Title does not identify work.			2.0 pts Title missing		3.6 pts
Abstract	3.6 pts Abstract addresses all sections of the paper and is a coherent whole that can be understood.							5 pts bstract is only a listing of cts.			2.0 pts Abstract missing	3.6 pts
Intro: Background	2.8 pts Presents a valid scenario/context under which the lab e performed as a larger research project. Information and concise manner than directly leads into the question(s) purpose of the research.	ld be G s given in a ti and the le	2.4 pts Gives a listing of the facts and/or previous work, but does not tie them together clearly, and/or does not show how they lead to the purpose of the present work and the questions being addressed.				hey	2.0 pts Gives very little background or information.		1.5 pts Does not include background or previous work.	2.8 pts	
Intro: Aim/Hypothesis	2.8 pts A clear explanation of purpose/aim is provided; educates by providing context.			2.0 pts rect purpose with some Declares a pur correct.			a purpose th	1.5 pts pose that is Purpose missing.		e is incorrect or		2.8 pts
Material & Methods	4.0 pts Discrete subsections for protocols given; enough informextraneous information is not given.	nt; Doe	Does not meet one of the Doe			oes not meet two of the			ts not meet any ria.	4.0 pts		
Results: Figures/Graphs	2.8 pts The figures contain all the information needed to understand the data. All the figures flow understandable fashion. Figures are of good quality.					Does not meet one of the Doe			not meet two of the		pts es not meet y criteria.	2.8 pts
Results: Written Summary of Results	2.8 pts Records observations and explains their importance. Includes formulas/calculations used to analyze data, and explains their use.	importance. Inc	rations and someti cludes formulas an ed to analyze data	nd	Includes fo	2.0 pts Records some observations. Includes formulas and some calculations used to analyze dat			its s not includ ulations use bservation	ed to a	nalyze data.	2.8 pts
Discussion: Framework	2.8 pts Restates the aim/hypothesis, supports or refutes it and role of the test in making the decision.	2.4 pts Restates the aim/ supports or refut								ort or refute esis.	2.8 pts	
Discussion:	2.8 pts	2.4 pts		2.0 pts		1.5 pts						

Refers to data in the body of the report as

The conclusions are sometimes logical and/or

Only suggests possibility of errors or sources or error

The work is generally ascribed to be useful

but no rationale is provided for thinking so.

Very frequent naming convention errors.

Very frequent grammar and/or spelling errors.

2.0 pts

Sections labeled; not more than two

categories out of sequence.

support.

poorly defended.

are illogical.

0.8 pts

0.8 pts

0.8 pts

Several errors.

Several errors.

2.0 pts

An application or use of the work is provided; a

proposal for further investigation is made.

Does not use data to support

The conclusions are illogical, incorrect or

Does not address possibility

1.5 pts

work.

Sections not labeled; more than two

categories out of sequence.

No relevance is

provided for the

1.5 pts

arguments.

not defended.

2.8 pts

2.8 pts

2.8 pts

2.8 pts

1.2 pts

1.2 pts

1.2 pts

Total Points: 40.0

Uses data to support

Conclusions are mostly logical and

Identifies logical/likely sources

statements.

2.4 pts

2.4 pts

1.0 pts

Only a few errors.

Sections clearly labeled; not more than one

category out of sequence.

1.0 pts

Only a few errors.

defended.